COURSE REPORT

Course code: INTH356	Semester:	Department:		
Course title: Observational Epidemiology: Survey, Cohort and Case-Control Studies	Spring 2014	Centre for International HEalth		
Course coordinator: Cecilie Svanes Date: 23.07.14	Approved in: PU - Bente Moen, head Date: 6.10.2014			

INTRODUCTION

Course description: Three week intensive course in observational epidemiology.

Learning Outcomes

At the end of the course the students should be able to

- distinguish the principles of surveys, case-control and cohort studies and how the three designs differ from each other and from the design of randomized controlled trials
- calculate sample sizes for surveys, cohort studies, and matched and unmatched case control studies, based on simple random sampling and two-stage cluster sampling with stratification
- compare alternative sampling methods (stratified, systematic, cluster, non-random)analyse data sets from surveys, cohort, and case-control studies
- calculate precision and account for design effect in cluster sample surveys
- distinguish the different types of cohort studies, i.e. prospective, retrospective and double cohorts
- distinguish the different types of case-control studies
- suggest relevant designs (plan) for case control and cohort studies and surveys
- compare principles and consequences of density based sampling of controls in case control studies and the importance of using incident rather than prevalent cases
- evaluate the direction and magnitude of selection- and information biases in case-control studies, cohort studies and surveys and discuss how to minimize the above mentioned biases during design and conduct of studies
- distinguish in stratified analysis potential confounding and interaction and ways to differentiate between the two, i.e. adjust for confounding factors using Mantel-Haenszel adjusted relative risk estimates and how best to present and interpret a stratified presentation of effect measures when interaction is present. This knowledge should be based on an understanding and ability to identify effect measure modification
- critically appraise the design, analysis and interpretation of studies conducted by other investigators
- communicate effectively with those involved in conducting public health research

Number of studer	Num	Number of students completing the course:					
Grade distribution ->:	A:	В:	C:	D:	E:	F:	
Or ->:	Pass: 19			Fail: 1			
SUMMARY OF THE STU	IDENT EVALUA	TION (main poi	nts):	I			
1. Statistical packages Stata/SPSS at the star shrough STATA by end SPSS/STATA, including description that althou may view the pre-read she software will not be	Students tho t or end of Da of Day 1 and how to impor gh familiarity ing and the pr e covered.	ught it would be y 1 (if not possil SPSS was fairly t datasets from with basics of st ogram before th	e beneficial is the ble before that). intuitive. Sugges different package atistical software ne course. During	y could have a sh However, they we stion: Distribute p es (e.g. excel for is an advantage, the course a for	nort introduction ere able to work ore-reading on in mat). Also state i , it is not essentia mal session on ir	session on their way troduction to n course al; students troduction to	
 They were pleased t should be retained. Su could have some addition 	o have two se ggestion was t ional time for	ssions on sampl to introduce cas this component.	ling- this should f e-control on the l	NOT be changed. ast Friday of wee	The session on c ek 2 (? afternoon	ausal inferenc) so that we	
3. During the exercises	s a strong emp	hasis on interpr	retation is reques	ted rather only fo	ocus on analysis.		
4. The amount of time readings prior to the co	spent on 'reca ourse. Perhaps	ap' of basic conc also recommer	epts could be rec	luced by referring ns of the textbool	g students to app k to preview.	ropriate pre-	
COURSE COORDINATO	RS EVALUATI	ON:					
• Teaching and asses	sment method	ds: Even more h	ands-on would b	e good			
Curriculum: Good,	see comments	from students	above				
Information and do very large different	cumentation - nces between	 would be good students' pre-co 	if students were ourse level	better prepared	before course, as	there were	
• Grade distribution -	- 19 pass 1 fa	il - acceptable					
 Localities/equipment sound a bit difficult 	nt – auditoriun Ilt	n with computer	rs difficult with lo	ng disctance from	n back to see scr	een up front,	
GOALS AND OBJECTIV	ES FOR NEXT	EVALUATION PE	RIOD - IMPROVE	EMENTS TO BE M	ADE:		
Distribute pre-rea (e.g. excel forma	ading on introc t). State in co	luction to SPSS/ urse description	/STATA, including that familiarity w	how to import d vith basics of stat	atasets from diffe istical software is	erent package s an advantage	
Give more specifi knowledge	c advice as to	pre-reading, to	reduce somewha	t potential large	differences in pre	e-course	
Change in course	description th	at there will be	given grades, no	t only pass/fail (s	some students ne	ed grades).	