

Evaluation of student course HUIMM320 Basic Immunology Autumn 2022

Background:

Five participants followed the course, 3 PhD fellows and 2 master students. The course was an intensive course over 14 days starting Monday August 22. The contact hours were from 10:00 until 12:00. The course was organized by Silke Appel with help from Bergithe Oftedal. The program is given in the appendix. The pensum covered during the course was Abbas, Lichtman and Pillai "Basic Immunology" 6th edition (2020). Every participant had to present two chapters each.

All students passed the oral exam (2 with A, 2 with B and 1 with C).

The evaluation was performed as a written evaluation.

All of the students handed in a written evaluation. The questions are listed in the appendix.

Results from the written evaluation

Question A, B, and E were graded from 1 to 6 with 6 being the best (very bad, bad, OK, good, very good, excellent). The average is presented. Questions C and D are given 'as is' and question F was comments.

A. What is your general impression of the course?

One graded 'excellent', three graded 'very good', one graded 'good'.

Mean: 5

B. How much did you learn at the course?

Three graded 'a lot', one graded 'very much', one graded 'much'.

Mean: 5.4

C. Have your expectations been fulfilled with regard to the description of the course?

Three graded 'very relevant', two graded 'relevant'.

D. What do you think about the demands of the course in relation to credited study points?

Two graded 'appropriate', one graded between 'appropriate' and 'too much/too difficult', two graded 'too much/too difficult'.

E. How were the relevant topics communicated?

Four students graded 'very good', one graded 'good'.

Mean: 4.8

F. Comments/suggestions:

Student 1) Gruet meg veldig til muntlig eksamen

Appendix

1) Schedule

PROGRAM HUMM320 H2022

D301 Sentralblokken (main building hospital) Except Wednesday 31.8. (B307)

All students should have read through the textbook (Abbas, Lichtmann & Pillai «Basic Immunology» 6th edition; ISBN139780323549431) before the start of course.

WEEK 34

Monday 22nd August

10:00 – 10:15	Information	Silke Appel
10:15 – 10:45	Introduction (chapter 1)	Silke
11:00 – 11:45	Innate Immunity (chapter 2)	Silke
11:45 – 12:00	Summary	Silke

Tuesday 23rd August

10:00 – 10:50	Antigen Presentation (chapter 3)	Student 1
11:00 – 11:50	Antigen Recognition (chapter 4)	Student 2
11:50 – 12:00	Summary	Silke

Wednesday 24th August

10:00 – 10:50	T cells (chapter 5)	Student 3
11:00 – 11:50	T cell effector function (chapter 6)	Student 4
11:50 – 12:00	Summary	Silke

Thursday 25th August

09:00 – 09:50	B cells (chapter 7)	Student 5
10:00-10:50	B cell effector function (chapter 8)	Student 1
11:00 – 12:00	Group work	alle

WEEK 35

Monday 29th August

10:00 – 10:50	Tolerance and Autoimmunity (chapter 9)	Student 2
11:00 – 11:50	Group work	
11:50 – 12:00	Summary	Silke

Tuesday 30st August

10:00 – 10:50	Tumor immunology (chapter 10)	Student 3
11:00 – 11:50	Group work	
11:50 – 12:00	Summary	Silke

Wednesday 31st August (room B307)

10:00 – 10:50	Hypersensitivity (chapter 11)	Student 4
---------------	-------------------------------	-----------

11:00 – 11:50	Group work	
11:50 – 12:00	Summary	Bergithe

Thursday 1st September

10:00 – 10:50	Immunodeficiency (chapter 12)	Student 5
11:00 – 11:50	Group work	
11:50 – 12:00	Summary	Bergithe

WEEK 36

Friday 9th September

10:00-12:00	Questions	Bergithe
-------------	-----------	----------

WEEK 37

Tuesday 13th September – D301

10:00 – 14:00	Oral Exam	
---------------	-----------	--

2) The evaluation form

Evaluation of the course

Basic immunology – HUIMM320

In order to improve the course, we would greatly appreciate your feedback.

A. What is your general impression of the course?

very bad --- bad --- OK --- good --- very good --- excellent

B. How much did you learn at the course?

very little --- little --- some --- much --- very much --- a lot

C. Have your expectations been fulfilled with regard to the description of the course?

irrelevant --- relevant --- very relevant

D. What do you think about the demands of the course in relation to the credited study points?

too much / too difficult --- appropriate --- too little / too easy

E. How were the relevant topics communicated?

very bad --- bad --- OK --- good --- very good --- excellent

F. Comments/suggestions: (use backside if necessary)