## Emneevaluering fra emneansvarlig

Emnekode: PROPSY305

Navn på emne:
År/semester: 2021 høst
Emneansvarlig: Mark Price
Innledning:  This is a short report for the autumn 2021 semester, based on initial data from the course
evaluations. A more detailed report based on 2 semesters from 2021 will be provided
later.
Studentevalueringer:
Metode / gjennomføring
Detailed course feedback via obligatory evaluation questionnaires
Faglærers oppsummering av studentene sine tilbakemelding fra studentevaluering
Feedback was broadly similar to previous semesters but with a slight reduction in course
satisfaction over many survey questions (fewer students giving top ratings and a larger minority giving negative ratings). Many students found the course too intense in terms of workload. It is
difficult to know the reasons for the drop in ratings because the course was largely unchanged.
Fatigue /burn out with online teaching, and a general cohort effect may be involved here. One part
of the course, on Thinking, rationality etc got generally higher ratings than before, so students
seemed particularly satisfied with this part of the course.
Faglærers kommentarer til studentevalueringen
As above
Faglærers vurdering av:
<u>Undervisnings- og vurderingsformer</u>
Successful. Emphasis on transferrable skills and active learning. Still positively evaluated by most
students.
<u>Litteraturliste / anbefalt litteratur</u>

Vurdering av om framdrift og opplegg er i samsvar med målene for emne og program							
Yes							
Eventuelle erfaringer fra andre som bidrar i undervisningen på emnet, både studenter og ansatte							
Rammevilkår:							
<u>Lokale og undervisningsutstyr</u>							
Course was run online							
Andre for the list							
Andre forhold							
Praksis / arbeidsrelevans:  Hvis relevant							
Tribitelevani							
Oppfølging:							
Орргия в п. в.							
	I						
<u>Strykprosent:</u>	-						
Frankrall forfall annual arion							
Eventuell fagfellevurdering							
Egenevaluering:							
Hva har fungert godt dette året og hva har ikke fungert så god?							
See above.							

## Hvordan tenker du det kan forbedres og gjøres annerledes neste gang emnet går?

Students were critical of one aspect of the obligatory Discussion Cafe assignment in one part of the
course. This has led to a modification of the assignment for the next semester. If students continue
to assess that workload is too high in future semesters, we might have to consider where syllabus
can be trimmed further.

Øvrige komm	<u>entarer</u>			